

# XPDF

## **A Dedicated X-ray PDF Beamline at Diamond Phase III Outline Proposal Based on “Ideas” 09 and 10**

**Principal Proposer**

Dr Andrew Goodwin

University of Oxford

**Co-Proposers**

Dr Emma Barney

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory

Prof. Simon Billinge

Columbia University

Dr Daniel Bowron

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory

Prof. Martin Dove

University of Cambridge

Prof. Alastair Florence

University of Strathclyde

Prof. Alex Hannon

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory

Dr Joseph Hriljac

University of Birmingham

Prof. David Keen

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory

Dr Shinji Kohara

SPring-8 Synchrotron Radiation Facility

Prof. Bob Newport

University of Kent

Dr Kenneth Shankland

University of Reading

Dr Matt Tucker

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory

## Summary

*Our vision for XPDF is straightforward: to build an instrument that measures X-ray pair distribution function (PDF) data of the highest quality possible at DLS, servicing the very large number of UK research groups whose work relies on understanding local structure in materials.*

Never has the importance of understanding local structure been so clear in so many disciplines. The ability to measure PDF data at Diamond is crucially needed because the technique is the *only* truly quantitative probe of local structure correlations in materials. The accuracy with which newer-generation instruments at spallation neutron sources and X-ray synchrotrons can measure the PDF, together with an increasing sophistication in the analysis methods, has seen a step-change in the significance of the scientific questions addressed using the technique. The success of neutron PDF measurements at ISIS has developed a rapidly-growing UK community using PDF in their research. As this user base continues to grow and diversify, so too does the range of scientific questions addressed using the technique. There is an increasing desire for high-throughput measurements, a more diverse range of materials to be studied, and varied sample environments and measurement timescales. Many of these studies are fundamentally better suited to X-ray PDF measurements than neutron PDF; many more will benefit enormously from exploiting the two techniques in tandem.

Diamond is the right place for an X-ray PDF instrument, not only because the UK community needs such a facility, but because (i) it offers the right X-ray energy profile, (ii) there is the right expertise to make the facility successful, and (iii) having X-ray *and* neutron PDF facilities on the same campus offers a synergistic relationship that increases value both at DLS and at ISIS. PDF studies require very careful measurement; it is no accident that many more beamlines would claim to be capable of measuring PDF data than produce high-profile PDF work. Our focus on a *dedicated* PDF beamline is to ensure a design and mode of operation that will produce world-class PDF data of genuine use to the research community. XPDF will ensure that the UK remains at the forefront of this emerging field, and that the Harwell campus becomes the world centre for PDF analysis.

Our preferred option is for a dedicated insertion device that delivers a beam profile optimised for PDF measurements. Mindful of the current economic pressures, however, we present also a second, cheaper, option that would exploit an existing insertion device to feed a smaller PDF side-station. For both options, our design focus is on ensuring the highest quality PDF measurements possible.

## Scientific Case

Of all the experimental probes of local structure, PDF techniques benefit from the unique advantage that the PDF is a directly-measurable histogram of interatomic distances. Because it is quantitative, it can be used for *local* structure refinement in much the same way as Bragg diffraction patterns are used for *average* structure refinement. The immense scientific insight this can provide is rapidly gaining notice: it means PDF methods can be used to produce realistic atomic-scale models of the local structure in materials — crystalline, nanocrystalline, amorphous or fluid. Indeed, for glasses and fluids, the PDF has always been the primary approach to understanding atomic structure, but increasingly PDF methods are being used to provide unique insight into the properties of crystalline materials. There is a growing realisation that for many important classes of crystalline material the instantaneous local structure is significantly different from the average. Surprisingly often it is this difference that is so crucial to the physical and chemical properties of these materials.

Examples of the science achievable using PDF methods include:

- Characterising host-guest interactions in porous materials, notably clathrates, zeolites and metal-organic frameworks, where structural cavities are filled by atomic clusters or molecules.
- Understanding mechanisms of ionic conduction, including conduction in materials where the mobile ions are part of the chemical compound or introduced as defects.
- Developing a coherent picture of dynamics in materials with large thermal motion, particularly network materials such as zeolites and metal-organic frameworks, where the actual framework shows a high degree of flexibility.
- Establishing local structure/property relationships in materials with mixed valence states, such as the colossal magnetoresistance (CMR) manganites.
- Understanding the actual processes and structural consequences of radiation damage in nuclear waste containment materials and reactor moderators.
- Solving the structures of nanocrystalline materials — including naturally-occurring minerals and synthetic nanoparticles — and using these results to understand differences in bulk and nanocrystalline material properties.
- Establishing protocols for fingerprinting poorly crystalline organic materials, including many active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) which are thought to be poly-amorphous.
- Developing bioactive glasses and amorphous bone replacement candidates, and monitoring leaching processes in bioimplanted materials.
- Characterising local structure in ionic liquids, and understanding the role of solvation in non-traditional solvents used in advanced catalysis.
- Direct observation of the mechanism of local strain coupling to processes such as magnetic and charge order in multiferroics and superconductors.
- Understanding the role of different forms of atomic site disorder in mechanical, acoustic and electronic properties of metallic alloys, electroceramics and relaxor ferroelectrics.
- More generally, watching the atomic-scale rearrangements associated with phase transitions and other structural transformations in a broad range of crystalline and non-crystalline materials: nucleation, growth, deposition, (de)sorption, (de-)intercalation, and amorphisation.

Importantly, these research areas span all the key priority themes of materials development: energy (battery materials, carbon capture via gas sorption, hydrogen storage, catalysts); health (pharmaceuticals, biomaterials); digital economy (digital storage media, electronics materials and semiconductor components, display materials, plastic electronics).

Experimentally, the PDF is measured as the Fourier transform of the X-ray or neutron scattering function taken to large maximum values of the scattering vector  $Q$ . These measurements present a number of challenges. Unlike traditional crystallography, where the focus is on extraction of Bragg peaks, it is critical to be able to minimise and measure accurately the background scattering. This directly impacts on the design of the instrument, including the way in which sample environment control is incorporated into the design. It is essential to measure to maximum values of  $Q$  that far exceed those traditionally used in crystallography, because the maximum value directly determines the best resolution possible in determination of interatomic distances. The situation is made more difficult for X-ray PDF measurements by the unavoidable loss of scattering intensity at high- $Q$  arising from the X-ray form factors, compounded further by the increasingly dominant contribution of Compton scattering that degrades the signal to background ratio in the same region of the scattering pattern. The DLS offers the combination of high X-ray energies (hence wide  $Q$  range) and high flux (hence accurate measurements at high- $Q$ ) that is crucial for measuring accurate PDF

data. But it is equally important that the experimental requirements necessary for low backgrounds and robust data normalisation are not underestimated; the community experience is that this is only practicable at dedicated PDF facilities, and hence the imperative behind our XPDF bid.

X-ray PDF techniques are complementary to neutrons rather than being competitive. The differences in atomic scattering factors between X-ray and neutrons mean that the different radiation sources will provide different degrees of sensitivity for different atoms. As the complexity of systems being studied using the PDF method increases, the use of both types of radiation becomes increasingly important. While PDF analysis is not inherently element-specific, the combined use of X-ray and neutron PDF techniques ameliorates the lack of element-specificity to a reasonable extent. And while XAFS and NMR can provide element-specific information, typically this is for first and second atomic coordination shells only; moreover it is often difficult to resolve the information XAFS and NMR give in the context of the long-range structure obtained using diffraction. PDF methods offer a self-consistent approach to studying structure on local, medium and long-range scales from a single experiment. Consequently, without dedicated PDF instruments at radiation beam facilities, the scientific community is left with a large gap in our understanding of a huge range of important materials.

The current international situation is that neutron and X-ray PDF instruments are only found in separate and geographically widely distributed institutes. However, there are significant advantages in having PDF instruments for both X-ray and neutron beams located at the same site under a common umbrella research council. This will enable researchers to submit applications for both radiation beams at the same time. Moreover, the strength of PDF instrumentation at the ISIS neutron facility has led to the development of international leadership in this area within the UK research community, including the development of flagship computer codes for PDF analysis (RMC, EPSR). This leadership will be significantly enhanced by the provision of a PDF instrument at Diamond. At the present time, UK PDF work with X-ray beams is being exported to overseas facilities, such as SPring-8 and the APS.

## Outline Specification

The optimal performance of X-ray total scattering and PDF experiments requires the use of short wavelength (high energy) radiation with high flux ( $10^{12}$  photons. $s^{-1}$ ) and high spectral purity ( $\Delta E/E = 10^{-3}$  to  $10^{-4}$ ). As discussed above, the short wavelengths and high flux are required to facilitate the measurement of the structure factor to large values of momentum transfer,  $Q$ , with sufficient counting statistics to compensate for the X-ray form factor driven decrease in scattering intensity. The high spectral purity will help resolve closely spaced Bragg features in the signals of crystalline or partially crystalline systems. A PDF beamline should also be capable of operating at a range of different photon energies in order to facilitate its application to the widest range of samples and to avoid problems associated with unfavourable X-ray absorption edges. These three basic requirements allow us to specify the key instrumental characteristics that XPDF must deliver:

|   |  |                |
|---|--|----------------|
| Operating X-ray photon energy/wavelength range: | 40 to 80 keV                             | 0.31 to 0.15 Å |
| Optimal photon flux at sample:                  | $10^{11}$ to $10^{13}$ photons. $s^{-1}$ |                |
| Optimal energy resolution:                      | $\approx 10^{-4} \Delta E/E$             |                |

To obtain these characteristics on the 3 GeV DLS we require a superconducting multipole wiggler as the optimal radiation source, with a cryogenically cooled double crystal monochromator as the

key element in the beamline optics train. Given the existing suite of diamond instruments and the availability of free insertion device straights, two options emerge for the construction of XPDF:

**Option 1** is the construction of a fully independent beamline with a dedicated insertion device, and a comprehensive optics train that would allow fully tuneable operation over the whole energy range specified above.

**Option 2** is the construction of a short side-station on an existing superconducting wiggler source (*e.g.* I-15), that would operate with a limited selection of wavelengths in a fixed monochromator geometry. The use of Si(111), Si(220) and Si(311) crystal pairs could deliver beams of  $\approx 40$ , 65 and 80 keV, respectively. Counting against this option is the lack of tuneability in X-ray energies (otherwise exploitable for anomalous scattering) and space restrictions imposed on hutch design that will influence the variety of sample environments possible.

In either instance, we anticipate the use of a range of detector options for XPDF. For time resolved studies and measurements that do not require access to the highest  $Q$ -values, flat panel amorphous silicon detectors matched with CsI scintillators are ideal; *e.g.* the Pixium 4700 (Thales Electron Devices, France) or the XRD1621 N ES (PerkinElmer, Canada). Such detectors will measure to a scattering angle ( $2\theta$ ) of  $60^\circ$ , giving  $Q_{\max}$  values of approximately 20, 25 and  $30 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$  for the three energy settings, with a frame rate of up to 30 fps. This detector configuration would be ideal for challenging sample environments such as pressure cells and furnaces. Alternatively, for measurements that require access to the highest  $Q$ -values, a standard two circle scanning diffractometer would make the optimum detector option. By scanning to  $120^\circ$ , a point scanning detector would allow measurement of the structure factors to a  $Q_{\max}$  of 35, 50 and  $70 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$  (40, 65 and 80 keV, respectively). We are also aware of the likely development of 1D “strip” detectors capable of operating at these X-ray energies (similar to the Mythen2 system currently used at I11). Such detectors offer the attractive combination of fast acquisition times with a large  $2\theta$  range.

A further very important characteristic of a total scattering/PDF experimental station is the delivery of low background contributions to the scattering signals, in order to facilitate quantitatively accurate measurement of the scattering intensities. To achieve this capability, care will be taken to maximise the use of evacuated flight paths for the incident and scattered X-rays.

## Community

The strong community support expressed for XPDF (80 Expressions of Interest representing 34 Institutions) is all the more significant for the fact that PDF techniques are still a relatively new experimental approach. Many of the expressions of interest received in the lead-up to this proposal come from groups yet to use PDF in their research, and it is this sense of the XPDF beamline being key to driving *future* scientific discovery in the UK — beyond the state of the art — that is particularly exciting. To quote one response, which is typical of many:

*“Establishment of a dedicated beamline at Diamond would provide a UK focus for developments that would enable new materials science and support a large number of researchers including non-experts (in PDF) such as myself who are now recognising the impact that this technique can have on their future research.”*

But perhaps the most striking aspect of the expressions of interest is the breadth of research disciplines they span — Chemistry, Physics, Materials, Engineering, Geology, Pharmacology, and Biological Sciences — a key indicator of the scope of impact that XPDF will have on UK research.

## Expressions of Interest Received

|                              |                             |                 |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Prof Neville Greaves FRS     | Mathematics and Physics     | Aberystwyth     |
| Dr Martin Wliding            | Mathematics and Physics     | Aberystwyth     |
| Dr Richard Martin            | Life and Health Sciences    | Aston           |
| Dr Anne Kavanagh             |                             | AstraZeneca     |
| Dr Andrew Burrows            | Chemistry                   | Bath            |
| Prof Philip Salmon           | Physics                     | Bath            |
| Dr Adrian Barnes             | Physics                     | Bristol         |
| Dr Jacqui Cole               | Physics                     | Cambridge       |
| Prof Martin Dove             | Earth Sciences              | Cambridge       |
| Prof Stephen Elliott         | Chemistry                   | Cambridge       |
| Prof Lindsay Greer           | Materials Science           | Cambridge       |
| Prof Clare Grey              | Chemistry                   | Cambridge       |
| Prof Simon Redfern           | Earth Sciences              | Cambridge       |
| Prof Kenneth Harris          | Chemistry                   | Cardiff         |
| Dr Kirsten Christensen       |                             | DLS             |
| Prof John Evans              | Chemistry                   | Durham          |
| Dr Ivana Radosavljevic Evans | Chemistry                   | Durham          |
| Dr Christoph Salzmann        | Chemistry                   | Durham          |
| Dr Stephen Moggach           | Chemistry                   | Edinburgh       |
| Prof Simon Parsons           | Chemistry                   | Edinburgh       |
| Prof Duncan Gregory          | Chemistry                   | Glasgow         |
| Dr Ian MacLaren              | Physics and Astronomy       | Glasgow         |
| Prof Chick Wilson            | Chemistry                   | Glasgow         |
| Dr Matthew Johnson           |                             | GSK             |
| Dr Stephen Skinner           | Materials                   | Imperial        |
| Dr Emma Barney               | Disordered Materials        | ISIS            |
| Dr Daniel Bowron             | Disordered Materials        | ISIS            |
| Dr Samantha Callear          | Crystallography             | ISIS            |
| Prof Felix Fernandez-Alonso  | Molecular Spectroscopy      | ISIS            |
| Prof Alex Hannon             | Disordered Materials        | ISIS            |
| Prof David Keen              | Crystallography             | ISIS            |
| Prof Robert McGreevy         | Diffraction & Muon Division | ISIS            |
| Prof Alan Soper              | Disordered Materials        | ISIS            |
| Dr Matthew Tucker            | Crystallography             | ISIS            |
| Dr Tim Hyde                  |                             | Johnson Matthey |
| Dr Serena Corr               | Physical Sciences           | Kent            |
| Dr Gavin Mountjoy            | Physical Sciences           | Kent            |
| Prof Bob Newport             | Physical Sciences           | Kent            |
| Dr Fiona Meldrum             | Chemistry                   | Leeds           |

|                             |                                  |                            |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Dr John Claridge            | Chemistry                        | Liverpool                  |
| Dr Yaroslav Khimyak         | Chemistry                        | Liverpool                  |
| Prof Matthew Rosseinsky FRS | Chemistry                        | Liverpool                  |
| Dr Sandie Dann              | Chemistry                        | Loughborough               |
| Dr Jeppe Christensen        | MAX-lab                          | Lund                       |
| Prof Paul O'Brien           | Chemistry                        | Manchester                 |
| Dr Brett Cooper             |                                  | Merck                      |
| Prof Robert Jones           | Chemistry                        | Nottingham                 |
| Dr Simon Clarke             | Chemistry                        | Oxford                     |
| Prof Peter Edwards FRS      | Chemistry                        | Oxford                     |
| Dr Andrew Goodwin           | Chemistry                        | Oxford                     |
| Dr Michael Hayward          | Chemistry                        | Oxford                     |
| Prof Dermot O'Hare          | Chemistry                        | Oxford                     |
| Dr Cheryl Doherty           |                                  | Pfizer                     |
| Prof Chris Hardacre         | Chemistry & Chemical Engineering | Queen's University Belfast |
| Dr Ann Chippindale          | Chemistry                        | Reading                    |
| Dr Simon Hibble             | Chemistry                        | Reading                    |
| Dr Kenneth Shankland        | Pharmacy                         | Reading                    |
| Prof Keith Ross             | Engineering & Physical Sciences  | Salford                    |
| Prof Lee Brammer            | Chemistry                        | Sheffield                  |
| Prof Mike Gibbs             | Materials Science & Engineering  | Sheffield                  |
| Dr Russell Hand             | Materials Science & Engineering  | Sheffield                  |
| Prof Neil Hyatt             | Materials Science & Engineering  | Sheffield                  |
| Dr Michael Ojovan           | Materials Science & Engineering  | Sheffield                  |
| Prof Ian Reaney             | Materials Science & Engineering  | Sheffield                  |
| Dr Nik Reeves-McLaren       | Materials Science & Engineering  | Sheffield                  |
| Prof Derek Sinclair         | Materials Science & Engineering  | Sheffield                  |
| Prof Panos Tsakirooulos     | Materials Science & Engineering  | Sheffield                  |
| Prof Anthony West           | Materials Science & Engineering  | Sheffield                  |
| Prof Philip Lightfoot       | Chemistry                        | St Andrews                 |
| Prof Russell Morris         | Chemistry                        | St Andrews                 |
| Dr Alastair Florence        | Pharmacy & Biomedical Sciences   | Strathclyde                |
| Dr John Turner              | Chemistry                        | Sussex                     |
| Prof Richard Catlow FRS     | Chemistry                        | UCL                        |
| Prof Gopinathan Sankar      | Chemistry                        | UCL                        |
| Prof Neal Skipper           | Physics                          | UCL                        |
| Dr Diane Holland            | Physics                          | Warwick                    |
| Prof Tim Jones              | Chemistry                        | Warwick                    |
| Dr Dean Keeble              | Chemistry                        | Warwick                    |
| Prof Pam Thomas             | Chemistry                        | Warwick                    |
| Dr Richard Walton           | Chemistry                        | Warwick                    |