Harmonic coil measurement system using a PCB coil

Andrew Lees ISIS Accelerator Design Engineer 9th June 2017

Contents

- Project background
- Measurement rig
- Testing process
 - Problems encountered
 - Modifications made
 - Planned modifications
- Quality of results
- Conclusions



Project background

- ISIS has limited capability to measure the magnetic field properties of our magnets. We currently rely on:
 - Magnetic simulations
 - Measurements carried out by magnet suppliers
- Commercial harmonic coil systems can be purchased at high cost. The challenge was to develop a system in house.
- Capability to measure magnets will come increasingly important for future upgrade and refurbishment projects





- Develop a harmonic coil system suitable for measuring magnets with cylindrical symmetry
- Focus on mechanical system
 - Digital integrator can be purchased, which comes with software to log data and carry out basic analysis
- PCB coil used for simplicity/cost
 - Many manufacturers available
 - Easy to make a reasonable radial coil
- First phase of project to test a simple rig as a proof of principle



Measurement rig

- Metrolab FDI2056 integrator purchased for £18000
- Initial rig manufactured for <£1000



PCB coil

- Double layer PCB with one turn per layer
- Coil 380mm long, 16mm radius, 2 turns
- Can fix positions of wire relatively easily and cheaply
- Possible to easily produce more complex coil designs in future





Initial testing

- Data from the first test had a significant component at sin 200θ
 - Stepper motor has 200 steps per revolution



 As the PCB was only secured to the shaft at either end, it was able to vibrate, driven by the uneven drive from the stepper motor



Coil vibration

- String used as an alternative drive system
- PCB taped down to acrylic rod







Coil vibration

• Could similar results also be achieved with the stepper motor?

- Micro-stepping and different speed settings tried
- Tripling the speed gave best results, but these still fell short of the string drive system



Alignment of coil to magnet

- Offset quadrupole field causes a dipole field to be measured
 - Phase and magnitude of dipole field relative to starting position is converted into x and y displacements
 - Starting position of coil is known by taking an encoder reading when the coil is horizontal
- It is possible the coil axis is not parallel to magnet axis
 - Axial alignment carried out by magnet geometry
 - Ideally would use coils to measure dipole field at either end of magnet
- Next phase of testing designed to allow improved alignment



Measuring a known magnet

- New magnets purchased for an upgrade project available and were measured by the supplier when they were manufactured
- Rig adapted to different magnet and to improve alignment





Measuring a known magnet

- New magnets purchased for an upgrade project available and were measured by the supplier when they were manufactured
- Rig adapted to different magnet and to improve alignment



Integrator gain issues

Measured signal

Measured signal minus quadrupole harmonic



- Above a certain partial integral of flux there was an offset in the measured value
- This was eliminated by turning down the integrator gain from 10 to 4
- Caused by a known hardware bug which is being resolved





 Repeats show the same magnet measured four times without altering the setup



Field gradient

- Measured integrated field gradient 3% higher than Danfysik's measurement
- Further investigation found:
 - Two batches of magnet had been made
 - The first batch of magnets had been measured to have a 3% higher integrated field gradient than the second batch
 - Both batches were manufactured to the same standard, though a different batch of steel was used for the yokes
 - The first batch of magnets had been measured using a rotating coil whereas the second batch of magnets had been measured using a stretched wire system
 - Both batches of magnets had similar field gradients when measured using a hall probe



Differential shaft stiffness

The shaft used to support the PCB is semi-circular



- Stiffness varies with orientation so the centre of the shaft oscillates up and down twice per revolution
- This induces skew dipole and sextupole terms $vs(\theta) = \frac{\cos 2\theta}{2} + \frac{d}{2}(\sin \theta - \sin 3\theta)$

The harmonic-coil method, L. Walckiers CERN 1992

For a differential sag of 0.138mm this gives

-
$$a_1 = \frac{d}{2} = 21.6$$
 units
- $a_3 = -\frac{3d}{2} = -64.7$ units



Quality of results

- Integrated field gradient accurate to 3% (probably better)
- Harmonics have limited accuracy
 - Most measured less than 10 units only the dipole and sextupole terms above 20 units
 - Dipole and sextupole components can be explained (to some extent)
 - Too much mechanical noise exists in the system
- With string drive system errors are smaller but no longer as repeatable



Quality of results

5.3 Measurement of a quadrupole magnet

In a dipole magnet, a measuring coil displaced laterally or vertically will induce no voltage on the integrator connected to it. This is not true if higher harmonics are present or when a quadrupole or sextupole magnet has to be measured. A spurious signal therefore shows up if the assembly is such that the harmonic coil does not rotate in a perfect circle. Calculations show that without proper rejection of the main harmonic, it is hopeless to measure with high accuracy the field quality of a quadrupole.

The harmonic-coil method, L. Walckiers CERN 1992

- Many errors are related to the sensitivity of the coil to the main quadrupole field
 - Vibrations
 - Coil deflection



Planned developments

Bucking coil to reject dipole and quadrupole harmonics



- Use a servo motor to give a smooth drive at constant speed
- Stiffer shaft with stiffness independent of orientation
 - Ceramic shaft
 - Sandwich PCB between two halves and glue together



Remnant field

- A side note on noise levels, the string drive test was carried out without the magnet being powered
- The remnant field is approximately two orders of magnitude weaker than the field when the magnet is powered



Remnant field

- A side note on noise levels, the string drive test was carried out without the magnet being powered
- The remnant field is approximately two orders of magnitude weaker than the field when the magnet is powered





- Developed a cheap harmonic coil system
- Improved understanding of the practical issues
- Found errors in the integrator and with magnet measurements done by manufacturer
- Can measure field gradient to a good level of accuracy
 - Planned work should enable the field quality to be measured to some accuracy



Acknowledgements

- Workshop for making the rig components
- Motion control group for help developing the drive system
- Martin Hughes for support with magnet testing
- Steve Jago and Iker Rodriguez for their support and advice





Thank you for your attention

